

United States Patent and Trademark Office

THE RESERVE OF COMMENTS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/654,943	09/01/2000	Seung Kuk Ahn	8733-294-00 7898		
30827 75	7590 09/18/2006		EXAMINER		
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			KOVALICK, VINCENT E		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
		DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/654,943	AHN, SEUNG KUK				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Vincent E. Kovalick	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN EACH THE MAILING DATES IN TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Au</u>	ugust 2006.					
·		action is non-final.					
/	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 10-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖾	Claim(s) 7-9 and 18-20 is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	Γ.					
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 September 2000</u> is/a	ire: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊟ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Response to Non-Final Office Action dated August 2, 2006, in response to USPTO Office Action dated May 2, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 4-5, 11 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirakata (USP 6,496,172) taken with Hirakata et al. (USP 5,847,687).

Relative to claims 1, 5, 11 and 16, Hirakata ('172) teaches a liquid crystal display device, active matrix type liquid crystal display device, and method of driving the same (col. 5, lines 41-67; col. 6, lines 1-67 and col. 7, lines 1-16); Hirakata ('172) further teaches an apparatus and method for driving a liquid crystal panel having pixels arranged at each intersection between gate lines and data lines in a matrix type in an inversion system, comprising: first signal supplying means for setting at least one pixel block each of which includes at least two data lines within the liquid crystal panel to apply data signals having the same polarity to adjacent pixels in a gate line direction within the at least one pixel block (Fig. 17A).

Hirakata ('172) does not teach a second signal supplying means for applying data signals to pixels outside the at least one pixel block, wherein the applied data signals have a polarity

Art Unit: 2629

contrary to data signals applied to pixels adjacently arranged at left and right sides thereof and also arranged outside the at least one pixel block.

Hirakata et al. ('687) teaches a driving method of active matrix display device (col. 3, lines 35-67 and col. 4, lines 1-19); Hirakata et al. ('687) further teaches a second signal supplying means for applying data signals to pixels outside the at least one pixel block, wherein the applied data signals have a polarity contrary to data signals applied to pixels adjacently arranged at left and right sides thereof and also arranged outside the at least one pixel block (col. 6, lines 25-38 and Figs. 12A and 12B).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the a art at the time of the invention to provide to the device as taught by Hirakata (172) the feature as taught by Hirakata (687) in order to reduce the operating voltage of the display device.

Regarding claim 2, Hirakata ('172) further **teaches** driving a liquid crystal panel wherein the pixel block is positioned at a boundary portion between column drivers (col. 16, lines 54-59 and Fig. 17A)

Regarding claim 3, Hirakata ('172) further **teaches** driving a liquid crystal panel wherein the pixel block includes at least two data lines to which a data is applied from the same column driver (Fig. 5, item 505).

Relative to claims 4 and 15, Hirakata ('172) further **teaches** driving a liquid crystal panel wherein all the pixels within the liquid crystal panel responds to the data signals having a polarity inverted every frame (col. 3, lines 22-25).

Art Unit: 2629

4. Claims 6 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirakata (172) taken with Hirakata (1687) as applied to claims 5 and 16 respectively in item 3 hereinabove, and further in view of Okumura et al. (USP 5,739,804).

Page 4

Regarding claims 6 and 17, Hirakata ('172) taken with Hirakata ('687) does not teach a liquid crystal panel comprising line-inversion control means for controlling the first signal supplying means to apply the data signals having the same polarity to the adjacent pixels in the gate line direction; and dot-inversion control means for controlling the second signal supplying means to apply the data signals having a polarity contrary to the pixels at the left and right sides thereof. Hirakata teaches a liquid crystal display device with no flicker and with a bright display by using a frame inversion driving technique.

Okumura et al. **teaches** a liquid crystal display device in which a period other than an image display prior is extended (col. 7, lines 15-67 and col. 8, lines 1-65); Okumura et al. further **teaches** a liquid crystal panel comprising line-inversion control means for controlling the first signal supplying means to apply the data signals having the same polarity to the adjacent pixels in the gate line direction; and dot-inversion control means for controlling the second signal supplying means to apply the data signals having a polarity contrary to the pixels at the left and right sides thereof (col. 1, lines 53-62).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide to the device as taught by Hirakata ('172) taken with Hirakata ('687) the feature as taught by Okumura et al. in order to optimize the drive device output.

Art Unit: 2629

5. Claims 10, 12, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirakata ('172) taken with Hirakata ('687) as applied to claims 2 and 11 respectively in item 3 hereinabove, and further in view of Jeong et al. (USP 6,271,816).

Regarding claims 10 and 13, Hirakata ('172) taken with Hirakata ('687) does not teach a method wherein data lines within a least one first plurality of consecutively arranged data lines are connected to adjacent column drivers.

Jeong teaches a power saving circuit and method for driving an active matrix display (col. 3, lines 62-67 and col. 4, lines 1-7); Jeong et al. further teaches teach a method wherein data lines within a least one first plurality of consecutively arranged data lines are connected to adjacent column drivers (col. 2, lines 60-67; col. 5, lines 10-23 and col. 6, lines 49-62).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide to the device as taught by Hirak172) taken with Hirakata ('687) the feature as taught by Jeong et al. in order to provide the means to significantly reduce the power needed by the column drive circuit to drive voltages of alternating polarity onto the column electrodes, in this way significant power is saved in both the pixel inversion and the row inversion schemes (Jeong et al. col. 3, lines 66-67 and col. 4, lines 1-3).

Relative to claims 12 and 14, Jeong et al. further **teaches** the method step of providing a plurality of column drivers for applying the video signal, wherein each column driver is connected to a plurality of consecutively arranged data lines (col. 4, lines 65-67; col. 5, lines 1-9 and Fig. 1A).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7-9 and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Regarding claims 7 and 18, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of record and that of the instant invention is that said prior art of record does not teach an apparatus for driving a liquid crystal panel wherein the first and second signal supplying means comprises at least two signal inverters for responding to control signals applied from the line inversion control means and the dot-inversion control means to invert phases of input data signals.

Response to Applicant's Remarks

8. Applicant's arguments filed August 2, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Relative to claims 1 and 5 Applicant argues tha6 prior art Hirakata '172 does not teach "allowing pixels outside the at least one pixel block to respond to data signals having a polarity contrary to pixels adjacently arranged at left and right side thereof". Based on the language of the claim Hirakata '172 teaches in Figs. 17A and 17B a six column matrix divided into three blocks; columns 1-2, and 3-4 and 5-6 each constitute a two column block respectively. Blocks 1 and 3 contain pixels that have responded to data signals having a polarity contrary to pixels in block 2, blocks 1 and 3 being adjacently arranged at left and right side and outside of block 2; as illustrated in Polarity Patterns (1) and (2) of Figs. 17A and 17B.

Art Unit: 2629

Regading Applicant's argument relative to claims 11, 15 and 16, wherein "applying video signals to at least one second plurality of consecutively arranged data lines such that video signals having opposite polarities are applied to pixels adjacent each other along a gate line direction". Hirakata '687 teaches in Figs. 12A and 12B and col. 6, lines 32-38, pixels adjacent to each other, along a gate line direction, maintaining data signals of opposite polarity.

It being understood that a first and second signal supply means would have to be in place in order to set the polarities as taught in claims 1, 5, 11, 15 and 16.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U. S. Patent No.	6,559,822	Okuzono
U. S. Patent No.	6,400,350	Nishimura et al.
U. S. Patent No.	6,327,008	Fujiyoshi

Final Rejection

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2629

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

To Respond

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vincent E. Kovalick whose telephone number is 571-272-7669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30- 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BIPIN SHALWALA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Vincent E. Kovalick
September 13, 2006